ASSESSMENT OF GENETIC VARIABILITY WITHIN THE GENUS *CITRUS* IN SYRIA USING SSR MARKERS

Rima El-Mouei[1] and Wafaa Choumane[1]*

[1] *Department of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria.*

ABSTRACT

Aims: The characterization and the estimation of genetic variability between accessions belonging to *Citrus* genus using the SSRs markers.

Place and Duration of Study: Laboratory of Molecular Genetic, Faculty of Agriculture, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria, between August 2011 to Mash 2013.

Methodology: 114 samples representing 4 group of *Citrus*, obtained from the Department of *Citrus* Research in Tartous, Syria, were used in this study. DNA was extracted from young leaves and analyzed with 26 SSRs primer pairs.

Results: Six primers produced monomorphic alleles, and the other 20 primers produced 95 different alleles. The highest number of alleles (32) was detected in Lemon group while the lowest number (28 alleles) was revealed in Mandarin group. The values of genetic diversity were calculated and ranged from 0.079 in Grapefruit to 0.533 in Mandarin groups. A dendrogram based on the index of genetic distance was established and showing clear separation between *Citrus* groups where they clustered into two distinct branches. The first one containing cultivars of Lemon group, while the second one included 4 distinct clusters, one for Mandarin cultivars group, one for sweet orange group, one for Grapefruit and pumelo and the fourth for Kumquat accessions.
12 specific alleles were identified, they will be a helpful tool in the Citrus breeding programs.

Conclusion: The results obtained in the present work proved the utility of SSR markers for evaluating the genetic diversity and relationships between *Citrus* species maintained in the Department of *Citrus* Research in Tartous, Syria, and showed high level of genetic similarity within each cultivar and within the species of Grapefruit and of Sweet orange at the tested SSR loci.

Key Words: Citrus, Genetic variability, Molecular marker, SSR, Syria.

1. INTRODUCTION

Citrus is one of the most widely cultivated fruit trees in the world, where the global production was close to 123 million tons [1]. The taxonomy and phylogeny of *Citrus* are very complicated due to frequent bud mutations, apomixes, widely sexual compatibility between *Citrus* genus and related genera, in addition to the long history of cultivation [2].

The most widely accepted taxonomic systems for *Citrus* are the Swingle system [3] and Tanaka system [4]. There were many disagreements between these two systems, where Swingle described 16 species in *Citrus*, while Tanaka recognized 162 species. Phylogenetic analysis by Scora [5] and Barrett and Rhodes [6] suggested the existence of only three true species in *Citrus* within the subgenus *Citrus:* citron (*C. medica* L.), mandarin (*C. reticulata* Blanco) and pumelo (*C. maxima* L. Osbeck). Other accessions were originated from one or more generations of hybridization among these true species or between them and species of the subgenus Papeda or closely related genera. This concept has recently received a great support from various studies using molecular markers [7, 8, 9].

With the advance and the development of molecular techniques, various molecular markers have been used to evaluate phylogenetic relationships within *Citrus* and with related genera. Microsatellites, or simple sequence repeats (SSR,) are one of these markers which are highly polymorphic, and are used in a wide range of germplasm collections. In *Citrus*, SSRs were extensively exploited for genetic studies [10,11], for the assessment of genetic variability [12,13], for phylogenetic analysis [14,15], for zygotic and nucellar seedlings identification [16, 17] and for the construction of genetic maps [18,19].

Little is known about the genetic variability of Syrian *Citrus* cultivars and germplasm collections at molecular level [20, 21]. Therefore, the objectives of the present study were focused on the characterization of a group of *Citrus* species maintained in the Department of *Citrus* Research in Tartous, Syria, and the evaluation of their genetic relationships using molecular markers (SSRs).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Plant materials:

A total of 114 samples (accessions) representing 37 cultivars (Three trees per cultivar, each tree represents an accession) belonging to the four main groups of *Citrus* genus (maintained in the Department of *Citrus* Research in Tartous, Syria), in addition to three Kumquat accessions belonging to *Fortunella margarita* (Lour.), were used in this study (Table 1).

2.2 DNA isolation:

Young leaves (3-4 weeks old) were collected and used for DNA isolation. 400 mg of fresh leaves were ground and extracted with CTAB protocol [22]. After brief air drying, DNA pellets were re-suspended in 300 µl (300 micro-liter) of TE (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) and kept at -20℃ until use.

The analysis was conducted in the laboratory of Molecular Genetic in the Faculty of Agriculture, Tishreen University, Lattakia, Syria.

2.3 PCR Amplification and Electrophoresis

SSR analysis: Twenty six SSR primer pairs derived from *Poncirus trifoliata* [23], recognizing 26 different loci (Provided by Atomic Energy Commission of Syria, AECS), were used in the analysis of DNA samples. Six of them generated monomorphic products and the other twenty were able to distinguish between samples (Table 2). The PCR reaction was composed of 35 ng of genomic DNA, 1 X PCR buffer, 200 µM of each dNTPs, 3 µM of each primer and 0.5 unit of *Taq* DNA polymerase in a total volume of 10 µl. Samples were subjected to a PCR program consisted of a cycle at 95°C for 5 min, 45 cycles at 95°C for 30 sec. followed by an annealing step at 65°C for 30 sec. with -0.7^{o}C/cycle for 15 cycles, then

at 54°C for 30 cycles, then at 72°C for 1 min. and one cycle at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were separated on 6% polyacrylamide gel and stained with silver nitrate [24].

Table 1. List of *Citrus* cultivars used in this study.

Number assigned to cultivars.	Common Names	Species name according to Tanaka system	Groups of *Citrus* genus
1	Meyer	*C. meyeri* Tan.	Lemon
2	Interdonato	*C. limon* (L.) Burm.f.	Lemon
3	Monachello	*C. limon* (L.) Burm.f.	Lemon
4	Santa teresa	*C. limon* (L.) Burm.f.	Lemon
5	Eureka	*C. limon* (L.) Burm.f.	Lemon
6	Washington navel	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
7	Cara Cara	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
8	Gillette navel	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
9	Newhall navel	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
10	Valencia	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
11	Jaffa	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
12	Salustiana	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
13	Maourdi	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
14	Sanguinelli	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
15	Moro Blood	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
16	Hamlin	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
17	Cadenera	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
18	Balady	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
19	Succari	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
20	Khettmali	*C. sinensis* (L.) Osb.	Sweet orange
21	Common Mandarin	*C . reticulata* Blanco	Mandarin
22	Mandalina	*C . reticulata* Blanco	Mandarin
23	Clementine	*C. clementina*	Mandarin
24	Nova	*C. clementina* x(*C. paradisi* x *C. tangerina*)	Mandarin
25	Carvalhal	*C . reticulata* Blanco	Mandarin
26	Dancy	C. *tangerina* Hort.ex.Tan.	Mandarin
27	Klimntard	*C . reticulata* Blanco	Mandarin
28	Fortune	*C . reticulata* Blanco	Mandarin
29	Ortanique	*C. sinensis* ×*C. reticulata*	Mandarin
30	Minneola	*C. reticuata* ×*C. paradisi*	Mandarin
31	Ponkan	*C. poonensis* Tan.	Mandarin
32	Satsuma	*C. unshui*	Mandarin
33	Marsh seedless	*C. paradisi* Macf.	Grapefruit
34	Star ruby	*C. paradisi* Macf.	Grapefruit
35	Red blush	*C. paradisi* Macf.	Grapefruit
36	Pumelo	*C. grandis* (L.) Osb.	Pumelo
37	Red Pumelo	*C. grandis* (L.) Osb.	Pumelo
38	Kumquat	*Fortunella margarita*(Lour.)	

2.4 Data analysis:

The amplification products were scored as 1 and 0 for present and absent alleles, respectively. These data were used to calculate the similarity and dissimilarity between cultivars (reflecting the genetic distance between them), using SIMINT in the Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System (NTSYS) version 3.2 [25]. Means of the three accessions of each cultivars were used for clustering. The dendrogram was generated by the Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Average (UPGMA) and using SAHN as clustering method (26). The genetic diversity (GD) within the genus was calculated for all loci according to the following formula of Nei [27]:

$$GD = n(1 - \Sigma P^2) / (n - 1)$$

Where (*n*) is the number of samples and (*p*) is the frequency of alleles. Interval measure

3. RESULTS

3.1. Variability and genetic diversity within *Citrus* genus:

The total number of alleles produced on the 20 polymorphic loci was 95 , ranging from 2 alleles (Loci Org-8, Org-28 and Org-10) to 9 alleles/locus (Loci Org-11 and Org-23), with an average of 4.75 alleles/locus (Table 2). The highest number of alleles was detected in Meyer species where a total of 32 alleles were amplified, while the lowest number (26 alleles) was detected in Pumelo. Detection of high number of polymorphic alleles is expected in the accession of hybrid origin (7), therefore the score of alleles showed that the number of polymorphic bands possessed by such accessions ranged from 11 (in Pumelo accessions) to 19 (in Meyer accessions). The Genetic diversity (GD) was calculate and was ranged from 0.231 (Loci Org-8 and Org-10) to 0.608 (Locus Org-4). The high value of genetic diversity in a locus reflect a high level of mutations and modifications affecting this locus.

Table 2: Names and sequences of SSR primers used in this study with the number of detected alleles and the genetic diversity (GD).

Loci	Primer sequences 5' to 3'	Number of detected alleles	Genetic diversity (GD)
Org-1	F: TTTGACATCAACATAAAACAAGAAATT R: TTAAAATCCCTGACCAGA	4	0.472
Org-2	F: AAAGGGAAAGCCCTAATCTCA R: CTTCCTCTTGCGGAGTGTTC	6	0.512
Org-3	F: TTCCTTATGTAATTGCTCTTTG R: TGTGAGTGTTTGTGCGTGTG	4	0.496
Org-4	F: TAAATCTCCACTCTGCAAAAGC R: GATAGGAAGCGTCGTAGACCC	5	0.608
Org-7	F: GGTGATGCTGCTACTGATGC R: CAATTGTGAATTTGTGATTCCG	4	0.205
Org-8	F: AGAAGCCATCTCTCTGCTGC R: AATTCAGTCCCATTCCATTCC	2	0.231
Org-9	F: AACACTCGCACCAAATCCTC R: TAAATGGCAACCCCAGCTTTG	3	0.447
Org-10	F: AATGCTGAAGATAATCCGCG R: TGCCTTGCTCTCCACTCC	2	0.231
Org-11	F: GCTTTCGATCCCTCCACATA R: GATCCCTACAATCCTTGGTCC	9	0.375
Org-14	F: CGCCAAGCTTACCACTCACTAC R: GCCACGATTTGTAGGGGATAG	8	0.479
Org-15	F: CGAACTCATTAAAAGCCGAAAC R: CAACAACCACCACTCTCACG	3	0.229
Org-17	F: GCCTTCTTGATTTACCGGAC R: TGCTCCGAACTTCATCATTG	5	0.485
Org-19	F: GAAAGGGTTACTTGACCAGGC R: CTTCCCAGCTGCTTGCAACAGC	4	0.498
Org-20	F: GGATGAAAAATGCTCAAAATG R: TAGTACCCACAGGGAAGAGAGC	6	0.541
Org-21	F: AGAGAAGAAACATTTGCGGAGC R: GAGATGGGACTTGGTTCATCACG	4	0.384
Org-23	F: AGGTCTACATTGGCATTGTC R: ACATGCAGZTGCTATAATGAATG	9	0.285
Org-26	F: CTTCCTCTTGCGGAGTGTTC R: GAGGGAAAGCCCTAATCTCA	6	0.510
Org-27-F87	F: ATGAAGGCTTTTTAGAGCCGAGTT R: ATAATAGGGGCCCACTTGACTTG	3	0.545
Org-28-F88	F: GTTCGCTCCACGCGATTTAT R: TGTGAAGAAAGATTTGGTGGGTTT	2	0.361
Org-30-F97	F: CTTCTTCTTCTCCTGCTCCTCCTC R: AGTGAGAAGCCAAAAACACCAAAC	5	0.561

Genetic diversity values were estimated for each group of *Citrus* (Table 3), where the highest value was detected in mandarin group (0.533), while the lowest one was in the grapefruit group (0.0741).

Table 3: Values of genetic diversity in *Citrus* groups.

Citrus group	Sweet orange	Mandarin	Lemon	Grapefruit	Pumelo
Alleles number	29	28	32	30	26
Genetic diversity (GD)	0.179	0.533	0.494	0.079	0.121
***H* obs**	0.5	0.448	0.728	0.428	0.31

H obs: Values of observed heterozygosity.

3.2. Species specific primers:

The comparison of alleles detected in all Citrus samples leaded to the identification of some specific alleles which were present in one species or in one group and absent in all other samples. 12 alleles were considered as specific alleles. (Table 4, Fig. 1).



Fig.1: Analysis of DNA by SSR primer pair (Org-3) on 6% polyacrylamide gel, showing polymorphic alleles in Citrus accessions and the specific allele in Satsuma (32). Number 24- 36 represent *Citrus* cultivars (refer to table 1).

The alleles produced by Org-8, Org-10 and Org-15 were able to characterize all cultivars of Lemon group. Eight alleles were detected on locus Org-23, three of them were specific, one was specific in the Lemon group, the second was specific in Meyer only (of lemon group) and the third one was specific in Ortanique (of mandarin group). Two specific alleles were detected on locus Org-20, one distinguished the grapefruit group and the other was specific in Satsuma from Mandarin group. The group of sweet orange could be identified by a specific allele on the locus Org-30-F-97. The locus Org-11 produced 9 alleles, one of them was specific in pumelo, while another one found only in kumquat. Similar results were shown with the primer pairs Org-7 in the grapefruit and Pumelo and with Org-30-F-97 for Sweet orange. These specific alleles have great importance in breeding programs, especially to examine the success of hybridization in early stages.

Table 4: List of SSR species specific primers and the distinct cultivars.

Primers (Loci)	Total number of alleles	Number of specific alleles	Cultivars or Groups
Org-3	4	1	Satsuma mandarin
Org-7	2	1	Grapefruit and Pumelo
Org-8	2	1	Lemon
Org-10	2	1	Lemon
Org-11	9	1	Kumquat
Org-15	2	1	Lemon
Org-20	6	2	1 in Grapefruit, 1 in Satsuma (mandarin)
Org-23	8	3	1 in Lemon, 1 in Meyer, 1 in Ortanique
Org-30-F97	8	1	Sweet orange

3.3 Genetic relationship between Citrus cultivars:

The data obtained through the analysis of DNA by SSR primers were used to calculate the similarity and dissimilarity index, according to Nei and Li [28]. The obtained values of dissimilarity were used to establish the dendrogram (Fig 2), which displayed two distinct branches. The first branch contains all accessions belonging to lemon group (accessions of Meyer, Interdonato, Monachello, Santa Teresa and Eureka), while the second one was divided into four distinct clusters. All mandarin accessions were grouped together in one cluster except Ortanique accessions which were dispersed in the second cluster between sweet orange accessions. Pumelo and grapefruit accessions formed the third cluster and the three accessions of Kumquat were formed the fourth distinct cluster.



Fig. 2. Genetic relationships between *Citrus* species based on SSR data

DISCUSSION

The results obtained from SSR analysis allowed the distinction between cultivars of *Citrus* derived from natural hybridization between species (the majority of Citrus species) and the

few "true" species naturally occurring in the genus (mandarin and pumelo). The number of different alleles was estimated and the heterozygosity values were calculated (Table 3). The highest number of different alleles and the highest values of heterozygosity were present in the "hybrid species". These results were in accordance with the results reported in other studies [7,9], where the citrus group had higher proportion of heterozygous loci than the groups classified as ancestral or as *Citrus* relatives.

The lemon group (15 accessions of five cultivars) had the highest number of different alleles (32 alleles) with the highest value of heterozygosity (0.728), while the pumelo (thought to be true *Citrus* species) possessed the lowest allele number and the lowest values of heterozygosity (26 and 0.31), respectively. The high heterozygosity value (0.448) was revealed in the mandarin group, although it is considered as true species, which can be explained by the presence of high number of hybrids in this group, which is also reported by Barkley *et al*. [9].

The number of common alleles shared between the different *Citrus* accessions was used to estimate the similarity percentage between the different groups. The lowest percentage (40%) was detected between lemon and mandarin groups, where the number of shared alleles was 12 out of 32 alleles revealed in lemon group. The number of common alleles detected in mandarin group was 28 and 22 of them were shared with orange group, leading to the highest percentage of similarity (77%) between the two groups.

The analysis of SSR data was very useful and informative in the characterization and estimation of genetic distance within *Citrus* genus. They were used to establish the dendrogram of genetic relationship within the genus *Citrus* (Fig. 2). All Citrus cultivars were clustered in two distinct branches. The first one consisted of cultivars of lemon group, while the second branch included the other cultivars. The branch containing the lemon group was very distant from the other groups and showed high level of genetic diversity among its different cultivars (GD= 0.454). Three cultivars of lemon group (Meyer, Monachello and Interdonato) possessed different fingerprints, whereas Santa teresa and Eureka were identical. The results of many other studies confirmed that lemon group was separated from the other groups, [9,29], and proved the detection of high level of genetic diversity within the group [30,31, 32].

In the second branch, four subgroups were identified. The first subgroup clustered all sweet orange cultivars and showed the highest value of genetic similarity (close to 92%), where only three SSR patterns were obtained for the 15 cultivars. They showed a small value genetic dissimilarity with a low value of genetic diversity (GD= 0.179). This high percentage of similarity within orange group was expected, and was in accordance with many other results [33,34,35,36]. Despite the presence of clear variations in morphological characters between orange cultivars, such leave shape, fruit size and color, [34,37] a very low genetic variability was found at molecular level with RAPD markers [20], confirming the narrow genetic base in sweet orange. Many studies have shown that the microsatellite markers could not distinguish accessions resulting from spontaneous mutations such as sweet orange [9, 38, 39]. In contrary, other studies demonstrated the presence of high genetic diversity within sweet orange group [40, 41].

In the second subgroup of the dendrogram, the three cultivars of grapefruit grouped together with the two cultivars of pumelo. The cultivars of grapefruit were represented by two patterns, with a low value of genetic diversity (GD= 0.0741). The two cultivars of pumelo, although they were in the same cluster with grapefruit, were separated from grapefruit accessions and were also represented by two different patterns and a low value of genetic diversity (GD= 0.121). The low value of genetic diversity in grapefruit group were already reported by other studies, and due to vegetative origin, as all cultivars of grapefruit are polyembryony [30,42,43]. Pumelo is considered as one of the three true species of *Citrus,* has played an important role in breeding programs, and was used as a parent for many cultivars of *Citrus*, such as oranges and grapefruit [44,45,8] . It's known that the grapefruit is derived from the hybridization between the sweet orange and pumelo which can explain the reason behind the presence of pumelo with grapefruit in the same cluster. Many studies

have shown that pumelo had a high level of genetic diversity due to the sexual reproduction origin (monoembryony), which could be identified using molecular markers [46, 47, 48].

The highest value of genetic diversity was detected in the mandarin group (GD= 0.533) and the cultivars were represented by 12 different patterns. All the studied cultivars grouped in the same cluster except Ortanique, which is considered as a hybrid between *C. sinensis* and *reticulata*, was closer to the orange group [3]. The high level of variability existing among mandarin cultivars allowed the distinction of all cultivars used in this study, which is in accordance with numerous studies showing the same result [49, 50, 39]. These results showed that all cultivars belonging to the same group were close to each other and all are derived from one species, and from this point of view, Swingel system seems closer to the reality than Tanaka system is.

Fortunella genus, which is a close genus to *Citrus*, is nested within *Citrus* in the dendrogram, and index of genetic distance was about 0.65. Several studies using other markers as isozymes [51], RFLP and RAPD [7] and SSR [52,14], coincided with our results and indicated that the molecular polymorphism between these two genera was lower than the morphological variations.

The results obtained in the present work showed that SSR markers were very useful for evaluating the genetic diversity and relationships between *Citrus* species maintained in the Department of *Citrus* Research in Tartous, Syria, and showed high level of genetic similarity between accessions of each cultivar and within the species of grapefruit and of Sweet orange at the SSR loci tested.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Tishreen University in Lattakia and the Department of Citrus research in Tartous, in Syria, for the financial support.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

R. Essouei did the practical work, performed the analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. W. Choumane, designed the study, managed the analyses of the study, the literature searches and the discussion. All authors read and approved the final manuscript

REFERENCES:

1- FAO, 2011. Food and Agriculture Organization. FAOSTAT. Statistical database http://faostat.fao.org.
2- Scora RW. Biochemistry, taxonomy and evolution of modern cultivated Citrus. Proc. Int Soc. Citricult. 1988; 1: 277–289.
3- Swingle WT. The botany of *Citrus* and its wild relatives in the orange subfamily. *In*: Webber, H.J. and L.D. Batchelor (eds.). The Citrus Industry. 1946. Vol. 1, pp: 128–474. University of California Press, Berkely.
4- Tanaka T. Fundamental discussion of citrus classification. Studia Citrologia, 1977; 14: 1-6.
5- Scora, RW. On the History and Origin of Citrus. 1975;102 (6): 369–375. Source: Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club, Published by: Torrey Botanical Society Stable. URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2484763 Accessed: 23/07/2010 19:33.
6- Barrett HC, Rhodes AM. A numerical taxonomy study of affinity relationships in cultivated Citrus and its close relatives. Syst Bot. 1976; 1: 105–136.
7- Federici CT, Fang DQ, Scora RW, Roose ML. Phylogenetic relationships within the genus *Citrus* (*Rutaceae*) and related genera as revealed by RFLP and RAPD analysis. Theor Appl Genet. 1998; 94: 812–822
8- Nicolosi E, Deng ZN, Gentile A, Malfa SL, Continella G, Tribulato E. *Citrus* phylogeny and genetic origin of important species as investigated by molecular markers. Theor Appl Genet. 2000; 100: 1155–1166.

9- Barkley NA, Roose ML, Krueger RR, Federici CT. Assessing genetic diversity and population structure in a *Citrus* germplasm collection utilizing simple sequence repeat markers (SSRs). Theor Appl Genet. 2006; 112: 1519–1531.
10- Kianoush S, Babaeian JN, Abkenar A. Evaluation of genetic diversity in citrus germless using microsatellite (SSR) markers. J. Agric Sci Natur Resour. 2009; 15: 112-117 (Iranian).
11- Amara MH, Biswasa MK, Zhangc Z, Guoa WW. Exploitation of SSR, SRAP and CAPS-SNP markers for genetic diversity of citrus germplasm collection. Scientia Horticulturae. 2011; 128(3): 220-227.
12- Jannati M, Fotouhi R, Pourjan A A, Salehi Z. Genetic diversity analysis of Iranian Citrus varieties using microsatellite (SSR) based markers. J. Hortic. For. 2009; 1: 120-125.
13- Shrestha RL, Dhakal DD, Gautum DM, Paudyal KP, Shrestha S. Genetic Diversity Assessment of Acid Lime (*Citrus aurantifolia* Swingle) Landraces in Nepal, Using SSR Markers. American Journal of Plant Sciences (AJPS). 2012; 3, 1674-1681.
14- Amar MH. Comparative analysis of SSR and SRAP sequence divergence in *Citrus* germplasm. Biotechnology. 2012. DOI:10.3923/Biotech.
15- Garcia-Lor A, Curk F, Snoussi-Trifa H, Morillon R, Ancillo G, Luro F, Navarro L, Ollitrault P. A nuclear phylogenetic analysis: SNPs, indels and SSRs deliver new insights into the relationships in the 'true citrus fruit trees' group (Citrinae, Rutaceae) and the origin of cultivated species. Ann of Bot. 2013; 111: 1-19.
16- Oliveira AC, Garcia AN, Cristofani M, Machado MA. Identification of Citrus hybrids the combination of leaf apex morphology and SSR markers. Euphytica. 2002; 128:397-403.
17- Yildiz E, Kaplankiran M, Demirkeser TH, Uzun A, Toplu C. Identification of Zygotic and Nucellar Individuals Produced from Several Citrus Crosses Using SSRs Markers. Not Bot Horti Agrobo. 2013; 41(2):478-484.
18- Kijas JM, Thomas MR, Fowler JC. An evaluation of sequence tagged microsatellite site markers for genetic analysis within *Citrus* and related species. Genome.1995; 38: 34–57.
19- Gulsen O, Uzun A, Canan I, Seday U, Canihos E. A new *Citrus* linkage map based on SRAP, SSR, ISSR, POGP, RGA and RAPD markers, Euphytica. 2010;173: 265-277.
20- El-Mouei R, Choumane W, Dway F. Molecular Characterization and Genetic Diversity in Citrus Genus in Syria. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology (IJAB). 2011; 13 (3): 351-356.
21- El-Mouei R, Choumane W, Dway F. Characterization and estimation of genetic diversity in citrus rootstocks. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology (IJAB). 2011; 13 (4): 571-574.
22- Benito C, Figueira S, Zaragoza FJ, Gallego A, De Lapena A. Rapid identification of Triticeae genotypes from single seeds using the polymerase chain reaction. Plant Mol Biol.1993; 21: 181–183.
23- Chunxian C, Bowman KD, Choi YA, Dang PM, Rao M.N, Huang SH, Soneji JR, Mccollum TG, Gmitter F. EST-SSR genetic maps for *Citrus sinensis* and *Poncirus trifoliate*. Tree Genetics and Genomes. 2006; 4: 1–10.
24- Bassam BJ, Caetano-Anollés . Silver staining of DNA in polyacrylamide gels. Appl. Biochem Biotechnol. 1993; 42: 181–188.
25- Rohlf FJ. 1993. NTSYS-PC Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis System Version 1-80. Exeter Software, Setauket, New York.
26- Sneath PA, Sokal RR. Numerical Taxonomy. Freeman, San Francisco, California. 1973.
27- Nei M. Analysis of gene diversity in subdivided populations. Proc Natl Acad Sci, USA. 1973; 70:3321-3323.
28- Nei, M. and W. Li, 1979. Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction endonucleases. Proc Natl Acad Sci. USA. 1979; 76: 5269–5273.
29- Nematollahi KA, Vahdati K, Nabipour A, Golein B, Danesh, M. Assessment of Genetic Variation in Citrus Germplasm of South of Iran Using SSR Markers. International Symposium on biotechnology of fruit species.2009; 2: 449-554.
30- Fang DQ, Roose ML. Identification of closely related *Citrus* cultivars with inter-simple sequence repeat markers. Theor Appl Genet. 1997; 95: 408–417.
31- Luro F, Rist, D Ollitrault, P. Evaluation of genetic relationships in *Citrus* genus by means of sequence tagged microsatellites. Acta Hortic.2001; 546: 237–242.

32- Gulsen O, Roose ML. Lemons diversity and relationship with selected *Citrus* genotypes measured with nuclear genome marker. J. Amer Soc Hort Sci.. 2001; 126: 309–317.
33- Novelli VM, Cristofan IM, Souza , Machado M. Development and characterization of polymorphic microsatellite markers for the sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck). Genet Mol Biol. 2006; 29: 90–96.
34- Malik SK, Rohini MR, Kumar S, Choudhary R, Pal D, Chaudhury R. Assessment of Genetic Diversity in Sweet Orange [Citrus sinensis (L.) Osbeck] Cultivars of India Using Morphological and RAPD Markers. Agric Res; 2012: 1(4):317–324
35- Tripolitsiotis K, Nikoloudakis N, Linos A, Hagidimitriou M. Molecular Characterization and Analysis of the Greek Citrus Germplasm. Not Bot Horti Agrobo, 2013, 41(2):463-471.
36- Roy GPS, Mahani MC, Park YJ, Noor NM. Simple Sequence Repeat (SSR) profiling of cultivated Limau Madu (*Citrus reticulata* Blanco) in Malaysia. Fruits, 2012, 67,. 67–76
37- Mouei R, Choumane W, Dway F. Morphological characterization of some Species and hybrids of *Citrus* in the Syrian coast. Research J. of Aleppo University, Series of Agricultural Sciences. 2011 (49) 30-47. (In Arabic)
38- Froro F., Rist D., Ollitrault P. 2000. Sequence tagged microsatellites polymorphism: an alternative tool for cultivar identification and evaluation of genetic relationships in *Citrus*. Proc. 9th Int. Citrus Congress. Florida, 170–171.
39- Sklein B, Talaie A, Zamani Z, Ebadi A, Behjatnia A. Assessment of Genetic variability in some Iranian sweet oranges (*Citrus sinensis* [L.] Osbeck) and mandarins (*Citrusreticulata* Blanco) using SSR markers. Int J Agric Biol. 2005; 7: 169–170.
40- Shaaban EA, Abd-EL-Aal SK, Zaied NS, Rizkalla AA. Assessment of genetic variability in some orange accessions using RAPD-DNA markers. Res J Agric Biol Sci. 2006; 2: 564–570.
41- Coronado YM, Coronado ACM, Villarreal YC, Arana AC, Vasquez JJ, Rivera OJM, Acos AL, Amariles HDV, Munoz JE. Genetic characterization using microsatellites of accessions of the orange, *Citrus sinensis* L. Osbeck from the Germplasm bank of Corpoica-Palmira. Acta Agronomica.2009; 1: 9-19.
42- Corrazza-nunes MJ, Machado MA, Nunes WM, Cristofani M, Targon ML. Assesment of genetic variability in grapefruits (*Citrus paradisi*) and pumelos (*C. maxima*) using RAPD and SSR markers. Euphytica. 2002; 126: 169–176
43- Ortun A, Gulsen O, Yesilog lu T, Aka-Kacar Y, Tuzcu O. Distinguishing Grapefruit and Pumelo Accessions using ISSR Markers. Czech J. Genet Plant Breed. 2010 ; 46 (4): 170–177.
44- Ghnitter FGJ. Origin, evolution and breeding of the grapefruit. Plant Breed Rev.1995; 15: 345–363.
45- Moore GA. Orange and lemon: clues to the taxonomy of Citrus from molecular markers. Trends Genet. 2001; 17:536-540.
46- Wang L, Zhong-hai1 L, De-Chun L, Bo W, Jian-Jun T**.** Assessment of the Genetic Diversity of Pumelo Germplasms Using AFLP and SSR Markers. Scientia Agricultura Sinica. 2005;38: 2308-2315.
47- Ortun A, Yesiloglu T, Aka-Kacar Y, Tuzcu O, Gulsen O. Genetic diversity and relationships within *Citrus* and related genera based on sequence related amplified polymorphism markers (SRAPs). Scientia Horticulturae. 2009; 121: 306–312.
48- Nartvaranant p, Nartvaranant k. Analysis based on AFLP markers of the genetic variations and their relationships for pumelo cultivars grown in the central region of Thailand. Songklanakarin J. Sci. Technol. 2011; 33 (5), 499-508.
49- Koehler-Santos P, Dornelles AL, De Freitas B. Characterization of mandarin citrus germplasm from Southern Brazil by morphological and molecular analyses. Pesq Agropec bras, Brasília. 2003; 38: 797-806.
50- Campos ET, Espinosa MAG, Warburton ML, Varela AS, Monter AV. Characterization of mandarin (Citrus spp.) using morphological and AFLP markers. Interciencia. 2005; 30: 687-693.
51- Herrero R, Asins M.J, Carbonell EA, Navarro L. Genetic diversity in the orange subfamily Aurantioideae. I. Intraspecies and intragenus genetic variability. Theor Appl Genet.1996; 92:599–609.

52- Yang XM, Hu CG, Deng XX. Phylogenetic relationships within Citrus and its related genera as inferred from AFLP markers. Genetic Resources and Crop Evolution. 2007; 54: 429–436.